

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eve Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



File:

Office: Nebraska Service Center Date:

FEB 6 2001

IN RE: Applicant:

Application:

Application for Travel Document Pursuant to Section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8

U.S.C. 1203

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

> provent clearly unwarranted e casine of personal print:

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, **EXAMINATIONS**

> Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for a travel document was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is native and citizen of Mexico, who seeks to obtain a reentry permit under section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1203. The director denied the application for a reentry permit because the applicant failed to provide verifiable evidence of his claimed status. The application was filed with the Service on March 24, 2000.

On appeal, the applicant's spouse states that she needs her husband to accompany her to Mexico while she undergoes surgery to help with the children and driving. He submits an Employment Authorization Card, I-688B, in his name.

In pertinent part, section 223 of the Act provides that an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence who intends to visit abroad and return to the United States to resume that status may make an application for a permit to reenter the United States. The statute also requires that the application be made in good faith and that the applicant's proposed departure would not be contrary to the interests of the United States. A reentry permit allows a permanent resident to apply for admission to the United States upon his or her return from a trip abroad during a period of the permit's validity without the necessity of obtaining a returning resident visa.

With certain exceptions¹, regulations at 8 C.F.R. 223.2(b) allow for the approval of a reentry permit if the application (Form I-131) is filed by a lawful permanent resident or conditional permanent resident. The applicant must also be in the United States at the time of his or her application. $\underline{\text{Id}}$.

The instant application was filed with the Service on March 24, 2000. On that date, the applicant was not a lawful permanent resident or conditional resident of the United States. The evidence provided by the applicant verifying his identity and status is inconclusive. The applicant was requested to submit his original I-551 card, or if he has not received the Alien Registration Card, submit a copy of the biographic page of his passport, and the passport page indicating initial admission as a permanent or conditional resident. The applicant was instructed to

See 8 C.F.R. 223.2(c) providing ineligibility where (1) a prior reentry permit is still valid, (2) certain extended absences have been taken by the applicant, or (3) the applicant is entitled to nonimmigrant diplomatic or treaty status and has not submitted the applicable waiver and/or tax exemption form. A review of the record reveals that none of these exceptions to the approval of a reentry permit are present in the matter at hand.

submit all the evidence at the same time and was informed that partial submission of the evidence would constitute a request for a decision based on the record.

In response the applicant provided the Service with a photocopy of an altered employment authorization card.

The applicant has not shown that he is a lawful permanent resident or conditional permanent resident of the United States. It is concluded that the applicant was ineligible to file a request for a reentry permit on March 24, 2000, the date this aplication was filed with the Service.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The applicant has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.